

## COUNCIL BUSINESS

A. PH. A. COUNCIL LETTER NO. 24.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., February 18, 1920.

To the Members of the Council:

*Motion No. 26 (Election of Active Members; applications Nos. 160 to 172 inclusive (Motion No. 27 (Election of Soldier and Sailor Members; applications Nos. 364 to 442 inclusive) have each received a majority of affirmative votes.*

Chairman J. A. Koch of the Committee on Finance writes:

"The following appropriations for 1919 have been overdrawn, as indicated:

	Appropriations	Disbursements
Year Book.....	\$3000.00	\$3624.29
National Formulary..	1000.00	2596.59
Journal.....	6250.00	7256.65

"In order that these accounts may be in proper condition for auditing, additional appropriations should be made to cover the deficit and I, therefore, move that additional appropriations be made as follows:

Year Book.....	\$624.29
National Formulary.....	1596.59
Journal.....	1006.65

"This motion is seconded by Dr. H. M. Whelpley."

*Motion No. 30 (Additional Appropriations for 1919).* Moved by J. A. Koch, seconded by H. M. Whelpley, that additional appropriations for 1919 of \$624.29 for Year Book, \$1596.59 for National Formulary, and \$1006.65 for Journal, be made.

J. W. ENGLAND, *Secretary.*

415 NO. 33RD STREET.

A. PH. A. COUNCIL LETTER NO. 25.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., February 27, 1920.

To the Members of the Council:

*Motion No. 28 (Election of Active Members' applications Nos. 173 to 187 inclusive) and Motion No. 29 (Election of Soldier and Sailor Members' applications Nos. 443 to 508 inclusive), have each received a majority of affirmative votes.*

H. V. Arny writes:

"On pages 59, 60 and 61 of Council Letter No. 23 I find the action of the Executive Committee on the proposition of an American

Pharmaceutical Research Endowment. On page 60 is given an outline of the plan submitted by a committee consisting of Messrs. Beringer, Beal and Sayre and on page 61 I find that the Executive Committee passed the following resolution:

"The Executive Committee recommends to the Council: That the suggestions contained in the report of the Committee on American Pharmaceutical Research Endowment be approved by the Council."

"To this recommendation I move the following amendment.

"That the Council refer the matter of an American Research Endowment to the A. Ph. A. Committee on Research, with a request that said committee take up the matter immediately as a part of its present aim of securing coöperation through the medium of its proposed National Committee on Pharmaceutical Research.

"I make this amendment for the following reasons:

"1. The A. Ph. A. like other national bodies should carry on its work through the regular channels created by it for specific purposes.

"2. Two years since the Council with the approval of the Association created a permanent and continuous Committee on Research, composed of 15 members, the terms of only three of whom expire each year. This would seem to show that the Research Committee was created to perform work other than the perfunctory task of recommending to the Council a candidate worthy of receiving the annual research grant award from the A. Ph. A. Research Fund.

"3. This Research Committee effected organization only last year and at a conference of the committee held last August suggestions as to coöperative research as a part of the plan of pharmaceutical federation were discussed with the understanding they were to be taken up this year.

"4. This was actually done during the week that the Executive Committee met in St. Louis by the issuance of a bulletin outlining a plan of coöperative research, not as ambitious as the one planned by the Executive Committee but along lines that can easily be enlarged to the scope now suggested.

"In presenting the foregoing reasons, permit me to add that if the Council does not think that the present Research Committee is capable of carrying out the work now planned by the Executive Committee, they should give it an overhauling beginning with its chairman, who would gladly turn his task to one more capable."

Jeannot Hostmann writes:

"I herewith second the amendment to Recommendation No. 8 proposed by H. V. Army referring the question of research to the Committee on Research."

Charles H. LaWall writes:

"The report of the Executive Committee received several days ago has been carefully studied and calls for commendation as a whole, for I believe the work consummated was constructive and will prove ample justification for the formation of such a committee.

"Concerning the action taken in Recommendation No. 8 I feel that the Committee inadvertently overlooked the existence of our very active Committee on Research under the able leadership of Chairman Army, but inasmuch as the report adopted makes no provision for initiating the movement so comprehensively outlined, I presume it is the intent of the Executive Committee that the plan be referred to our present Committee on Research with instructions to take the necessary steps to inaugurate such an endowment as is contemplated:

"As the matter stands now it is precisely in that same unassigned state that has caused so much material to be buried in presidential addresses of past years, and, in order to make the disposition of the matter certain, I move that the report of the Special Committee on American Pharmaceutical Research Endowment be referred to the standing committee on Research of the A. Ph. A. with instructions to act along the lines set forth."

Frederick J. Wulling writes:

"I was not in attendance at New York when the Executive Committee was established and, therefore, did not hear the arguments in its favor. Possibly the advantage of personal discussion and deliberations over correspondence justified the Committee. I am, therefore, unwilling to say that the recent St. Louis meeting was not worth while, but if I were to base my judgment solely upon the recommendations made by the Committee, I might have some doubts. There appears to have been no business that could not have,

without detriment to the Association, been left over until the annual meeting in May.

"I have not the time to comment upon each of the recommendations but I want to say that Recommendation No. 5 might await the action of the Council on the comprehensive membership campaign that Dr. Newcomb submitted to the Committee. The rebate of one dollar (\$1.00) per dues-paid-member (mentioned in Recommendation No. 6) to the several branches, depends, I suppose, upon the affirmative action on raising the dues to seven dollars and fifty cents (\$7.50) per year. Recommendation No. 7 is unfortunate for many reasons. I think it would be much wiser if the Association would furnish each member with a small metal frame in which could be displayed an annual certificate of membership; the certificate to take the place of the annual receipt for dues. Many associations are now doing this for display and advertising. I strongly object to Recommendation No. 9 and hope it will not prevail. The A. Ph. A. stands squarely for certain things among which I do not count so-called commercial pharmacy. In fact I am opposed to the term 'commercial pharmacy.'"

Hugo H. Schaefer, Secretary of the New York Branch, writes:

"Dr. Hostmann, member of the Council from our Branch, has called my attention to Council Letter No. 23 in which I note the action of the Executive Committee with regard to the proposed raising of dues and the scheme for rebating \$1.00 per member back to the Branches. Since you will recollect that I sent you a letter a few weeks ago suggesting that this change be made, you will realize that I am particularly interested in this phase of the matter. My proposal was that when the dues be raised, \$1.00 be rebated to each Branch for each member belonging to that Branch. This I think is a fine idea for the reason stated in the above mentioned letter. However, as the Secretary of the New York Local Branch, in close touch with its work and knowing its financial state, I must emphatically object to the further idea of limiting the amount rebated to our Branch to a maximum of \$200.00, for the following reasons:

"(a) The New York Branch collected last year \$250 in dues; this coming year we expect to collect somewhat more. In other words we have over 250 paid up members; in addition we were allowed a considerable sum as rebate

for the new members. We therefore, had a total income of over \$300 and we found on the first of January 1920 that we had less money in the treasury than we had in January 1919, despite the fact that we had no extraordinary expenses; no money was contributed by the Branch toward the Convention Fund and the entire sum of over \$300 was expended for legitimate branch work. We sent out 450 notices for each meeting and practically all of them under 2c. postage. We had nine meetings last year. We also have a very active Membership Committee. Membership blanks are sent out several times a year to each member of the Branch with special letters requesting them to obtain new members. Money was expended on such things as helping the cause of the War Veterans' Section, furthering the work of the Anti-Compulsory Health Insurance, N. F. and U. S. propaganda. You are of course familiar with the high cost of stationery and printing. You now propose to reduce our income from over \$300 to a maximum of \$200 and in addition under the new scheme we would be compelled to carry on our Branch membership list every member of the A. Ph. A. in the metropolitan district, since of course they would all be paying \$7.50 and be entitled therefore, to Branch-membership. I think you can readily see that it would be impossible for us to continue our work under these new arrangements, it would simply mean that we would have to cut down the number of our meetings or curtail the sending out of notices, etc.

"(b) Under the new arrangements it would be possible for instance for Brooklyn to start a Branch and possibly hold its meetings jointly with the two branches to obtain a maximum of \$400. This of course, would be a subterfuge, but since such a thing is possible is it not a strong argument of not having any such regulations as a maximum rebate of \$200?"

"(c) I believe that the New York Branch with possibly one exception is the only Branch that has a bonafide membership of 200. It would therefore give each new member the idea that the action of the Executive Committee is aimed directly at our Branch.

"(d) Since under present arrangements our maximum rebate would be \$200 it would mean that every new member obtained would cause a further deficit in our treasury. We have at present as mentioned a very active membership committee. Their aim is to obtain 100 new members this year. It certainly would

be no incentive for such a committee to obtain new members knowing that every such new member would be an added burden to our treasury.

"My idea is that since under these arrangements the parent organization would directly finance the Branch, that while no limit should be set to the rebate allowed to the Branches that the parent organization should have a voice in deciding how this money should be spent. It should require that every Branch demanding its pro-rata rebate should hold, let us say, at least six meetings per year and send out notices of such meetings to every member for whom it is obtaining the rebate of \$1.00. I have often heard the statement made that there are Branches that hold but one or two meetings per year and yet they would still be able to obtain their full \$1.00 per member, while the New York Branch would not be able to obtain \$1.00 per member but only a maximum of \$200.

"In concluding, I wish to state emphatically that I certainly hope that no further action will be taken on this matter for at least a month or six weeks or until such time as will enable the Branches to thoroughly discuss this matter at future meetings."

Jacob M. Diner writes:

"Council Letter No. 23 at hand and contents noted. It must have taken a great deal of ingenuity to word Recommendation No. 6 carefully enough to cripple the New York Branch. According to this recommendation it will become compulsory for this branch to consider every A. Ph. A. member residing within our jurisdiction a member of the Branch.

"This would bring the total membership of the Branch to about five hundred, requiring meeting notices, etc., to be sent to each and generally increasing our annual expenses. Yet we will be limited in our receipts to \$200 a sum totally inadequate for our needs. It is singular that while New York has always done its share towards the advancement of the A. Ph. A., it has ever been singled out for unfavorable discrimination by the powers that be."

General Secretary Day writes:

"I have received from Mr. J. H. Maiden, Director and Government Botanist of the Botanic Gardens, Sydney, Australia, the following books which he has presented to the American Pharmaceutical Association:

"Useful Native Plants.

"Census of New South Wales Plants.

"Critical Revision of the Genus Eucalyptus (parts 1-38).

"Flowering Plants and Ferns.

"I am caring for these until such times as the library can be permanently placed. I have also written to Mr. Maiden, expressing sincere thanks in behalf of the Association for his generous gift. I think it might be well to make some acknowledgment by Council action."

*Motion No. 31 (Vote of Thanks to Mr. J. H. Maiden for Contribution of Books).* Moved by W. B. Day, seconded by J. W. England, that the thanks of the American Pharmaceutical Association be tendered to Mr. J. H. Maiden of Sydney, Australia, for his generous contribution of books to the Association.

*Motion No. 32 (Election of Active Members).* You are requested to vote on the following applications for active membership:

- No. 187. Thomas J. Porro, 3716 N. 26th St., Tacoma, Wash., rec. by H. M. Whelpley and Wm. B. Day.
- No. 188. Charles Kirkwood Trotter, 162 Madison Ave., Memphis, Tenn., rec. by E. G. Eberle and J. W. England.
- No. 189. James Edward Litz, Tazewell Ave., Graham, Va., rec. by Samuel Weinstein and W. F. Rudd.
- No. 190. Daniel Vance Walker, R. F. D. No. 1, Atkinson, N. C., rec. by Samuel Weinstein and W. F. Rudd.
- No. 191. Lawrence M. Anderson, 401 Gold St., Hunter's Park, Duluth, Minn., rec. by W. A. Abbett and Eric Leth.
- No. 192. Reuben M. Scott, 310 E. Superior St., Duluth, Minn., rec. by W. A. Abbett and Eric Leth.
- No. 193. John H. Thomas, 5214 a Delmar Ave., St. Louis, Mo., rec. by Joseph B. Welsh and S. J. Barnstead.
- No. 194. George Edward Gray, 440 Pierce Bldg., St. Louis, Mo., rec. by J. Merner Noble and F. A. Federer.
- No. 195. Fred H. Swift, 3862 Olive St., St. Louis, Mo., rec. by M. E. Webber and J. Merner Noble.
- No. 196. Ernest H. Grant, care Wm. S. Merrell Co., 5th & Pike Sts., Cincinnati, Ohio, rec. by Chas. G. Merrell and Caswell A. Mayo.
- No. 197. Richard Henry Kirber, 2113 Church St., Nashville, Tenn., rec. by E. A. Ruddiman and Wm. R. White.
- No. 198. Henry W. Denny, 1514 Davis St., Evanston, Ill., rec. by E. N. Gathercoal and W. B. Day.
- No. 199. Rocco Josephus Sagarino, 51 Cottage St., Everett, Mass., rec. by Theo. J. Bradley and J. W. England.
- No. 200. Marcellus Miller, South Norfolk Pharm., South Norfolk, Va., rec. by W. F. Rudd and Morris Phipps.
- No. 201. Rudolph Haas, 622 Mead St., Bronx, N. Y. City, N. Y., rec. by Curt P. Wimmer and Lewis Brown.

\* Omitted from Council Letter No. 21; applications Nos. 163 to 172 inclusive should be re-numbered Nos. 164 to 173 inclusive and No. 173 of Council Letter No. 22 cancelled.

*Motion No. 33 (Election of Soldier and Sailor Members).* You are requested to vote on the following applications for soldier and sailor membership.

- S. S. No. 509. Walter Earl Clark, 519 E. Front St., Missoula, Mont., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 510. Stanley Walter Curtis, Prescott Res., Box 33, Missoula, Mont., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 511. Sam Maurice Harschfeld, 227 S. 3rd St., Missoula, Mont., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 512. Henry Edward Rakeman, Polson, Mont., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 513. Robert B. Robinson, 402 S. 5th St. E., Missoula, Mont., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 514. Merle Maben Thompson, 240 E. Spruce St., Missoula, Mont., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 515. Donald Carter Merrell, 1427-22nd St., Toledo, Ohio, rec. by S. D. Schweitzer and W. D. Walters.
- S. S. No. 516. Charles Gustave Lenz, 6252 Drexel Ave., Chicago, Ill., rec. by E. N. Gathercoal and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 517. E. O. Langston, 711 E. 39th St., Savannah, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.

- S. S. No. 518. R. F. Rumph, 1016 E. Park Ave., Savannah, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 519. C. D. Blalock, 101 W. Oglethorpe St., Savannah, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 520. Joseph Maddock Persse, 202 E. Henry St., Savannah, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 521. Charles Hardin Peacock, Jr., care Jacobs-Peacock Drug Co., Macon, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 522. Eli Julian Peacock, Jr., care Jacobs-Peacock Drug Co., Macon, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 523. Lance Cleo Hill, 825 Missouri St., Lawrence, Kansas, rec. by W. D. Walters and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 524. Glenn B. Updike, 512 Main St., Danville, Va., rec. by W. F. Rudd and A. L. I. Winne.
- S. S. No. 525. Melvin O. Johnson, 614 Oregon St., Sabetha, Kans., rec. by W. D. Walters and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 526. B. T. Bethune, Jr., care Bayne's Pharm., Macon, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and Wm. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 527. Ross Addison Etter, 310 S. Ash St., McPherson, Kans., rec. by W. D. Walters and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 528. Thomas Leonard Hasbrouck, 7753 Lowe Ave., Chicago, Ill., rec. by W. B. Day and E. N. Gathercoal.
- S. S. No. 529. James Johnson Harris, Milledgeville, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 530. Louis Silverstein, 310-12th St., Brooklyn, N. Y., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 531. Lawton W. Green, Cor. Broad & Jackson St., Augusta, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 532. George Raymond Byrnes, 4126 Flad Ave., St. Louis, Mo., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 533. Fritz J. King, 222 W. 7th St., Junction City, Kans., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 534. Homer L. Wherley, 6575 Lakewood Ave., Chicago, Ill., rec. by W. B. Day and E. N. Gathercoal.
- S. S. No. 535. William Daniel Thompson, 75 Liberty St., Nicholls, Ga., rec. by L. B. Dale and H. A. Deen.
- S. S. No. 536. Benedict S. Ash, 401 S. Front St., Mankato, Minn., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 537. Abraham Frank Becker, Independence, Ore., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 538. Charles Reed Chadbourne, Preston, Oriente, Cuba, rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 539. Angelo Saccoccia, 1723 Cranston St., Cranston, R. I., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 540. Lawrence B. Dugan, 316 Minerva St., Indianapolis, Ind., rec. by Bacil Rounder and Geo. M. Lordon.
- S. S. No. 541. Thomas Edmund Kinnce, 810 N. 2nd St., Raton, New Mexico, rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 542. Charles Clifford Lewis, Box 207, Summerville, S. C., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 543. Christian Yager, Hiattville, Kansas, rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 544. Karl Louis Roese, McCune, Kansas, rec. by B. E. Traylor and A. Roese.
- S. S. No. 545. David Warren Morris, 423 Commercial St., Emporia, Kansas, rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 546. Victor Edwin Sisson, 369 Woodland Ave., S. E., Roanoke, Va., rec. by H. P. Van Lar and H. J. Brady.

- S. S. No. 547. Morris Kanner, 755 E. 168th St., New York, N. Y., rec. by A. M. Leff and Dr. S. Howitz.
- S. S. No. 548. Lawrence Marx Rosenfeld, 1920 W. Carey St., Phila., Pa., rec. by Louis Gershenfeld and G. W. Fehr.
- S. S. No. 549. Henry Hruzek, Weimar, Texas, rec. by W. D. Walters and Frank H. Freericks.
- S. S. No. 550. Herbert Oscar Eskola, 273 W. Delaware St., Detroit, Mich., rec. by Harry W. Poorman and W. D. Walters.
- S. S. No. 551. Edward F. Crabb, 532 John St., Kalamazoo, Mich., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 552. Victor E. Jones, Clark, S. D., rec. by D. F. Jones and E. M. Jones.
- S. S. No. 553. Julius Charles Laigeler, Highwood & Waukigan Ave., Highwood, Ill., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 554. Cecil C. Johnston, Main St., Royse City, Texas, rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 555. Walter Louis Thoms, 326 E. 12th St., Indianapolis, Ind., rec. by C. B. Jordan and W. F. Gidley.
- S. S. No. 556. Orice Everett Tyner, 1345 Vermont St., Lawrence, Kans., rec. by L. E. Sayre and W. D. Walters.
- S. S. No. 557. Joseph Hobart DeVica, 170 Brookline Ave., Brookline, Mass., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 558. Ralph W. Henderson, Burden, Kans., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 559. Allan M. Gilbert, Halstad, Minn., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 560. Howard Edward Eichinger, Canby, Minn., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 561. Jerome Clifton Albert, Greensprings Ave., Terrace, Pa., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 562. Olen Rupert Farris, Argonia, Kans., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 563. Julius Labow, 544 Donner Ave., Monessen, Pa., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 564. William Nicholas Burns, Otterbein, Ind., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 565. Timothy Edward Burns, 1316 Ferry St., LaFayette, Ind., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 566. Henry Carl Sauerbrunn, 129 Nicoll St., New Haven, Conn., rec. by E. J. McGuire and T. C. McGuire.
- S. S. No. 567. Charles Edward Shiefer, 1219 Sixth Ave., Altoona, Pa., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 568. Samuel Kreisser, 65 Sagamore St., Lynn, Mass., rec. by Theo. J. Bradley and Leon A. Thompson.
- S. S. No. 569. Glenwood Borders, 2417 N. Delaware St., Indianapolis, Ind., rec. by Emory W. Thurston and H. S. Noel.
- S. S. No. 570. James R. Oliver, Drumright, Okla., rec. by F. G. Dillinger and R. F. Blaudenslif.
- S. S. No. 571. Charles Edward Greene, 520 Columbus Ave., Boston, Mass., rec. by Theo. J. Bradley and Florin J. Amrhein.
- S. S. No. 572. Joseph G. Cadora, 32 Westland Ave., Boston, Mass., rec. by Theo. J. Bradley and Florin J. Amrhein.
- S. S. No. 573. Harvey Van Rensselaer Crowell, 93 Binney St., Boston, Mass., rec. by Theo. J. Bradley and Florin J. Amrhein.
- S. S. No. 574. George Winford Kinnington, Jr., Pageland, S. C., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 575. Earl L. Warren, Sedan, Kans., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 576. Roscoe Owen Brady, 98 Hendricks St., Ambler, Pa., rec. by Rees C. Roberts and W. D. Walters.

- S. S. No. 577. Benjamin Carl Anderson, Woodruff, S. C., rec. by Wm. Westmoreland and B. G. Warriner.
- S. S. No. 578. John P. Pieroth, 501 E. Fourth St., Ellensburg, Wash., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 579. Otho Ray E. Vaus, Texline, Texas, rec. by J. Thos. Webbs and W. D. Walters.
- S. S. No. 580. William R. Davis, Lansing, Kans., rec. by D. L. Wiggins and L. Twidwell.
- S. S. No. 581. Samuel S. Cohen, 444 Hancock St., Brooklyn, N. Y., rec. by C. Cohen and M. A. Jameilli.
- S. S. No. 582. Rudolph Wolf Adler, 2009 N. Park Ave., Phila., Pa., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 583. Bernard Samuel Weinsenker, 5425 Prairie Ave., Chicago, Ill., rec. by W. B. Day and E. N. Gathercoal.
- S. S. No. 584. Shelton G. Silverburg, 604 Charles St. W., Muncie, Ind., rec. by W. D. Walters and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 585. Henry L. Kath, W. 85th St. & 32nd Ave., N. W., Seattle, Wash., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 586. Arthur Henry Berens, 904 Pacific Ave., Tacoma, Wash., rec. by W. D. Walters and Frank H. Freericks.
- S. S. No. 587. Roy Irwin Barrett, 301 Pacific Ave., Bremerton, Wash., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- J. W. ENGLAND, *Secretary*.

415 N. 33RD ST.

A. PH. A. COUNCIL, LETTER NO. 26.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., March 9, 1920.

To the Members of the Council:

*Motion No. 30 (Additional Appropriations for 1919)*, has received a majority of affirmative votes; in it was included an appropriation of \$624.29 for Year Book, but as \$600 additional for 1919 was appropriated by the Council at the first session of the New York meeting (*THIS JOURNAL*, Oct., 1919, 86), "it will not be necessary," writes Chairman

J. A. Koch, of Committee on Finance, "for any additional appropriations for the Year Book; the \$24.29 can be taken care of by transfer;" and if there is no objection, this procedure will be agreed to.

*Motion No. 31 (Vote of Thanks to J. H. Maiden)*, *Motion No. 32 (Election of Active Members; applications Nos. 163, and 188 to 201 inclusive)*, *Motion No. 33 (Election of Soldier and Sailor Members; applications Nos. 509 to 587 inclusive)*, have each received a majority of affirmative votes.

H. C. Fuller writes:

"I have before me A. Ph. A. Council Letter No. 23, recommendations of the Executive Committee. I approve recommendations 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10.

"I disapprove No. 5 as I think it will not help the finances of the Association at all.

"There are good points about No. 2, but there is danger of making the Council too cumbersome by its adoption. I am a member of another association where it is provided that the ex-presidents shall become members of the Board of Managers, which is analogous to our Council. The constitution also provides that there shall be a certain number elected from the membership. There are so many members on the Board now that it is often difficult to transact business. I tried to get the constitution amended and contended that the ex-presidents if they would make valuable board members would be elected to the Board anyway. However, we are still trying to do business in the old way.

"My contention with respect to ex-presidents getting on a Board of Managers or Council is borne out by the statement in the second paragraph on page 58. Our ex-presidents get into the Council anyway and they get elected and are retained there because they merit the trust placed with them. If it should ever happen that a number of the Council developed a disposition which might render him objectionable, now he can fail to get re-elected, but if this member happened to be one favored with a life tenure of office he would have to be endured. Personally, I don't see the need of recommendation No. 2 and wish it might be withdrawn."

Theodore J. Bradley writes:

"The proceedings of the Executive Committee at the meeting held in St. Louis on January 31-February 1 as reported in Council Letter No. 25, are being discussed by several members of the Council, but there are some

aspects of Recommendation No. 5 that have not been discussed and which should be considered before final action is taken on the question of increasing the dues of the Association to \$7.50 per year.

"We hope that the present period of high prices will not last and that a movement back towards normal prices will begin soon, but there are many influences which will act against such a movement and tend to keep the cost of living at the present high point. The recommendation to increase our dues is an example of these influences, and it may not be important in itself, but the effect of many such will greatly retard the movement which is so greatly desired. To my mind we should secure the additional funds needed by increasing our efforts to secure new members and also practice the strictest economy in expenditures. Besides the general arguments against the increase, there is the special one that it may defeat its object because it will be much more difficult to secure and hold members if they must pay higher dues, especially if the period of hard times which looms before us should materialize."

Frederick J. Wulling writes:

"Council Letter No. 25 and your letter of February 23rd, at hand.

"I seem to have created the impression that I am opposed to the Executive Committee. That is not so at all. I also recognize the great value of oral discussions and consideration of problems. Concerning the Executive Committee, I am hoping that it will function so well that in time the Association may have a general manager. The Association is not doing the big things and, to my mind, these are the essential and paramount things to do. I believe with a suitable organization for the purpose, we could raise a million dollars for the establishment of an endowment fund, suitable headquarters for the Association, research laboratories, editorial office, etc. Others have done things like these, why can't we? Pharmaceutical business and practical activities are yielding large profits annually. None of these profits are available for centralizing and advancing the interests of pharmacy. Many wealthy men connected with pharmacy, in some form or other, have died without leaving a cent to the calling through which they made their wealth. I believe many would contribute liberally to the central fund if properly approached. It sometimes takes less effort to do the big things than a multitude of little things.

"Concerning the increase in annual dues, I would prefer to await action on Dr. Newcomb's membership plan before expressing an opinion. So far as I am personally concerned, I would be just as willing to pay \$7.50 or \$10.00 a year as \$5.00, but I know of many others who would not be so willing.

"To the Executive Committee recommendation that a commercial division be established in the JOURNAL, I am unalterably opposed for reasons that must be obvious, it seems to me, to anyone who is at all familiar with the history, traditions and purposes of the Association and who has its interests at heart."

Lucius E. Sayre writes:

"Permit me to second the motion offered by Charles H. LaWall (Letter No. 25, p. 65), relating to Research Endowment—that it be referred to the Standing Committee on Research of the A. Ph. A., etc."

George M. Beringer writes:

"Council Letter No. 25 contains several statements to which one might very readily take exception as being criticism that is neither constructive nor called for.

"The determination as to the value of an Executive Committee and likewise of the recommendations emanating from the recent meeting of that Committee in St. Louis, will depend upon a vision free from the distortions of pique and selfishness. Possibly the members of the Executive Committee have erred in judgment and may have made only another sacrifice of time and energy in their endeavor to render *real service* to American pharmacy.

"The members of the Executive Committee did not overlook the existence of the Committee on Research of the A. Ph. A., nor did they lack in their appreciation of the efforts and faithful service so far rendered by the chairman of that Committee.

"If the critics will refer to the action at the Indianapolis meeting, at which the American Pharmaceutical Association Research Fund was established and the American Pharmaceutical Association Committee on Research appointed, they will be convinced of the limited scope outlined and the limitations financial and otherwise that have prevented any great amount of progress on the part of this Committee.

"If they will likewise give earnest consideration to the proposition of the Executive Committee for an American Pharmaceutical Research Endowment, they should not fail to appreciate the wide difference in scope and



possibilities of the two plans under consideration.

"The writer is convinced that this is not a time for either quibbling or misunderstandings, but that it is a time when American pharmacy should unite for distinct action in accordance with their commendation of the Executive Committee.

"I will, therefore, move, as a substitute for the recommendation of the Council and amendment thereto made by Prof. H. V. Army, the following:

"That the Council of the American Pharmaceutical Association approve the plan proposed by the Executive Committee for the formation of an American Pharmaceutical Research Endowment and that it instruct the Committee on Research of the A. Ph. A. to immediately take up the proposed plan for such an endowment with the various national pharmaceutical, drug and chemical organizations, and that it be considered as a part of the duty of this Committee to perfect the organization, if possible, of such a Research Endowment."

The above motion is seconded by F. E. Stewart.

H. C. Fuller writes:

"I desire to bring to the attention of the Council the following situation: Under Regulations No. 35 revised Nov. 1919, for the enforcement of the revised Harrison Law, relating to the importation, manufacture, production, etc., of Opium or Coca leaves, their salts, derivatives or preparations thereof, Article 27 with respect to chemists states 'Chemists occupying an independent status and not that of employees, in other words, in business for themselves, who make analyses of narcotic drugs or preparations or use such drugs in analyzing other things, are liable to tax as compounders.' They are required to pay a license of \$24 per annum, they must keep records and make monthly returns under oath in duplicate to the collector of internal revenue. Penalties of a fine of not more than \$2000 or imprisonment not more than 5 years or both are incurred by non-compliance.

"Now physicians, dentists, veterinary surgeons and other practitioners, including institutions, entitled under the laws of the jurisdiction in which they practice to distribute, dispense, give away, or administer narcotic drugs or preparations, are subject to a tax of \$3 per annum. and while they must keep a record of the narcotics used, are not required to render monthly reports."

"It seems to me that it is very inappropriate to class analytical and consulting chemists with manufacturers and compounders of narcotic drugs, for this is what has actually been done. A chemist doing miscellaneous work necessarily has to buy and use small quantities of narcotics, but he does not use them in the same way or for the same purposes that the manufacturer and compounder does. He uses them as reagents, and I think comes well within the classification—'physicians, dentists, veterinary surgeons and other practitioners.'

"In order to obtain a modification of the present regulations it will be necessary to induce the Bureau of Internal Revenue to get out a Treasury Decision with the approval of the Secretary, changing the present status of chemists, and setting forth their proper status.

"It seems to me appropriate that this should be done and I think the Council of our Association should either petition the Bureau or recommend that the proper responsible officer of the Association do it. You are more familiar than I am with the methods by which business of this nature is done.

"In order to get the matter before the Council I will make a motion that the Council call the attention of the Bureau of Internal Revenue to the present inappropriate status of analytical chemists under Regulations No. 35 for the enforcement of the Harrison Law, setting forth the reasons for this, and that a modification of these regulations be made, placing analytical chemists in the same class as physicians and other practitioners. And in the event that it is not the business of the Council to send such a communication, that the Council recommend to the proper officials that this be done."

The above motion is seconded by S. L. Hilton.

*Motion No. 34 (Election of Active Members).* You are requested to vote on the following applications for active membership:

- No. 202. Howard S. Bennett, Sharptown, Md., rec. by W. F. Rudd and Morris Phipps.
- No. 203. Howard Stanley Dox, 563 Meldrum Ave., Detroit, Mich., rec. by W. H. Brown and Mortimer Bye.
- No. 204. Maurice Albert Baum, 10816 Massie Ave., Cleveland, Ohio. rec. by H. T. Guenther and Edward Spease.

- No. 205. Isador Louis Zeman, 110 Fullerton St., Pittsburg, Pa., rec. by J. A. Koch and L. K. Darbaker.
- No. 206. Joseph Thomas Kane, 1915 Green St., Philadelphia, Pa., rec. by Charles H. LaWall and Elmer H. Hessler.
- No. 207. Hollis M. Wible, Box 200, Camp Hill, Pa., rec. by Charles H. LaWall and Ivor Griffith.
- No. 208. Clarence Moore Galloway, 5540 Wyalusing Ave., Philadelphia, Pa., rec. by Elmer H. Hessler and Ivor Griffith.
- No. 209. James C. Carstater, 145 N. 10th St., Philadelphia, Pa., rec. by H. W. Youngken and J. W. Sturmer.
- No. 210. Joseph Thomas Keuper, 412 Ardmore Ave., Trenton, N. J., rec. by H. W. Youngken and J. W. Sturmer.
- No. 211. Nathan H. Kramer, 2218 S. 7th St., Philadelphia, Pa., rec. by Heber W. Youngken and J. W. Sturmer.
- No. 212. Sam B. Woody, 8 N. 14th St., Richmond, Va., rec. by Charles F. Walker and Samuel Weinstein.
- No. 213. Robert H. Hurt, R. F. D. No. 7, Bedford, Va., rec. by Samuel Weinstein and W. F. Rudd.
- Motion No. 35 (Election of Soldier and Sailor Members).* You are requested to vote on the following applications for Soldier and Sailor membership.
- S. S. No. 588. Emry Taylor, 300 24th Ave., S., Nashville, Tenn., rec. by E. A. Ruddiman and William R. White.
- S. S. No. 589. Elias Howell Cross, Jr., 505 Turrentine Ave., Gadsden, Ala., rec. by E. A. Ruddiman and William R. White.
- S. S. No. 590. Rayford E. Barlow, 1309 McGavack St., Nashville, Tenn., rec. by E. A. Ruddiman and William R. White.
- S. S. No. 591. Porter Virgil Hamblen, Mt. Juliet, Tenn., rec. by E. A. Ruddiman and William R. White.
- S. S. No. 592. William C. Simmons, Winchester, Tenn., rec. by E. A. Ruddiman and William R. White.
- S. S. No. 593. Lewis N. Thorpe, Washington, Kansas, rec. by W. D. Walters and Frank H. Freericks.
- S. S. No. 594. Wayne F. Phyne, Box 77, College Park, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 595. David B. Burton, 141 Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 596. Zeddie C. Moore, 141 Peachtree St., Atlanta, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 597. William M. Riley, Decatur, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 598. John B. Smith, Box 77, College Point, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 599. Jesse John Fleming, Basin, Wyo., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 600. Edward Odin Turner, 1201 Baltic Ave., Atlantic City, N. J., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 601. Perez William Greene, P. O. Box 294, Greensburg, Pa., rec. by Harry Henry and P. S. Brown.
- S. S. No. 602. Benjamin David Kronick, 3514 Morgan Ave., N., Minneapolis, Minn., rec. by E. L. Newcomb and F. J. Wulling.
- S. S. No. 603. William P. Brown, Richland, Tex., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 604. Roy Albert Wilcox, 1000 Alabama St., Lawrence, Kans., rec. by L. E. Sayre and L. D. Havenhill.
- S. S. No. 605. Ernest Elmer Gates, 637 Sergeant Ave., Joplin, Mo., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 606. LaRoy H. Geil, 616 Seneca St., Seattle, Wash., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 607. Clay Robert Breaux, Crowley, La., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 608. William Conaway Hairston, Isola, Miss., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 609. Joseph Harry Elliott, 415 E. Jefferson St., Yazoo City, Miss., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.

- S. S. No. 610. Eugene Goldsmith Evans, Jr., Pendleton, S. C., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 611. Glen E'lsworth Smith, 701 W. 11th St., Coffeyville, Kans., rec. by L. E. Sayre and L. D. Havenhill.
- S. S. No. 612. Arthur E. Carlson, 302 8th St., So., Willmar, Minn., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 613. Jeffery R. Hilton, Marysville, Wash., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 614. Arnold I. Gandrud, 620 22nd Ave., S., Minneapolis, Minn., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 615. Gene W. Smith, 6914 Sheridan Road, Chicago, Ill., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 616. Wesley McClung Childs, 1017 S. Denver St., El Dorado, Kans., rec. by L. E. Sayre and L. D. Havenhill.
- S. S. No. 617. Leo Green, 31 East 127th St., New York, N. Y., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 618. Peder Jensen, 615 S. 82nd St., Tacoma, Wash., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 619. Edwin Leonard Lonberg, Jr., 47<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> Columbus St., Charleston, S. C., rec. by W. H. Zeigler and Albert E. Butler.
- S. S. No. 620. J. Mervin Rosenberger, North Branch, Y. M. C. A., 1013 W. Lehigh Ave., Philadelphia, Pa., rec. by J. W. England and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 621. Harry Harris Buch, 411<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> Chester St., Lancaster, Pa., rec. by Heber W. Youngken and J. W. Sturmer.
- S. S. No. 622. Russell I. Brown, 1623 Green St., Philadelphia, Pa., rec. by Heber W. Youngken and J. W. Sturmer.
- S. S. No. 623. Richard Edward Cramer, Trenton Ave., Point Pleasant, N. J., rec. by Heber W. Youngken and J. W. Sturmer.
- S. S. No. 624. Frank H. Furman, 36 North Jardin St., Shenandoah, Pa., rec. by Heber W. Youngken and J. W. Sturmer.
- S. S. No. 625. John Parker Harvey, 1901 Arch St., Philadelphia, Pa., rec. by Heber W. Youngken and J. W. Sturmer.
- S. S. No. 626. Howard Jacob Haines, 1414 N. 11th St., Reading, Pa., rec. by Heber W. Youngken and J. W. Sturmer.
- S. S. No. 627. Gerald Joseph Leonard, 922 Center St., Ashland, Pa., rec. by Heber W. Youngken and J. W. Sturmer.
- S. S. No. 628. Howard L. Bill, 1220 Herbert St., Frankford, Philadelphia, Pa., rec. by Heber W. Youngken and J. W. Sturmer.
- S. S. No. 629. Richard C. Flaherty, 1015 W. Lehigh Ave., Philadelphia, Pa., rec. by Heber W. Youngken and J. W. Sturmer.
- S. S. No. 630. Raymond Alfred Vaaler, 812 Walnut St., Grand Forks, N. D., rec. by R. P. Fischelis and J. W. England.
- S. S. No. 631. Robert I. Austin, Newnan, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 632. John Robert King, Newnan, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft, and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 633. Albert Fisher, Griffin, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 634. Turner F. Coile, Griffin, Ga., rec. by J. C. Wheatcroft and W. B. Day.
- S. S. No. 635. John E. Biddinfeid, Dublin, Ga., rec. by W. D. Walters and R. P. Fischelis.
- S. S. No. 636. Glenn Williams Irvin, 291 Grove Ave., Detroit, Mich., rec. by Floyd H. Quokenbush and W. D. Walters.  
J. W. ENGLAND, *Secretary*.  
415 North 33rd Street.

## A. PH. A. COUNCIL LETTER NO. 27.

PHILADELPHIA PA., March 13, 1920.

*To the Members of the Council:*

Action on the recommendations of the Executive Committee in Council Letter No. 25 is now in order:

*Recommendation No. 1:* "That the President shall not be limited in the selection of subjects for his annual address to scientific facts and events of the year, but shall be given the alternative of discussing questions bearing on the affairs of the Association, generally, and that Chapter II, Article IX, of the by-laws of the Association be so amended."

Do you favor the above recommendation? This will be regarded as *Motion No. 36 (Recommendation on Annual Address of President)*.

*Recommendation No. 2:* "The Executive Committee believes that this recommendation should receive serious consideration and recommends that the question of making the ex-presidents of the Association ex-officio members of the Council, be referred by the Council to the Association, and if agreed upon, that the by-laws be amended accordingly."

Do you favor the above recommendation? This will be regarded as *Motion No. 37 (Ex-Presidents as Ex-Officio Members of the Council)*.

*Recommendation No. 3:* "That the Executive Committee outline each year special problems for the State Associations and the Local Branches to discuss and take appropriate action; members of the Executive Committee to submit topics, which will be submitted by the Secretary of the Committee to its members for selection by vote. The selected list is then to be sent to the President and Secretary of each State Association and Local Branch, these officers to be asked to report on the action taken in relation thereto."

Do you favor the above recommendation? This will be regarded as *Motion No. 38 (Special Problems for Discussion by State Associations and Local Branches)*.

*Recommendation No. 4:* "That inasmuch as there is now a special Committee on Nominations appointed by the Association, that there be referred to this committee all recommendations relative to the matter of nominating and electing officers."

Do you favor the above recommendation? This will be regarded as *Motion No. 39 (Nomination and Election of Officers)*.

*Recommendation No. 5:* "That the annual dues be increased from \$5.00 to \$7.50 and that the by-laws of the Association be amended accordingly."

It is felt that this recommendation should be more thoroughly discussed than can be done by correspondence, and it is, therefore, moved by R. P. Fischelis, seconded by F. E.

Stewart, that it be made the special order of business at the first Council meeting of the annual meeting to be held in May, next. This will be regarded as *Motion No. 40 (Increase of Annual Dues)*.

*Recommendation No. 6:* "That beginning with 1921, a rebate or share of the annual dues be made each year to each Local Branch of one dollar per dues-paid member per year, with the maximum of \$200 for any one branch, and that the Secretary of each Local Branch shall file with the Treasurer of the Association before December the first of each year a statement of the amount of such rebate due, with a list of the membership of the Local Branch, also, that, beginning with 1921, the Association shall discontinue the custom of granting a commission of one dollar for each new member secured by Local Branches."

On this subject, J. H. Beal writes:

"I am also much impressed by the arguments offered by Doctors Schaefer and Diner in opposition to limiting the total annual rebate to any one branch to \$200 in a single year. Certainly we want as many branches like the New York Branch as it is possible to have. I think most of us would like to see the New York Branch grow to such an extent that it would be entitled to a rebate for one thousand members each year. Certainly we do not want to do anything that would lessen the activity or retard the growth of so excellent a Branch. I, therefore, move that this part of the report of the Executive Committee be referred back to that Committee for further consideration."

W. B. Day writes:

"I feel sure that I voice the sentiment of the whole Executive Committee in stating that there was no thought whatever of injuring the New York Branch in any way and, in view of the statement of the Secretary of the Branch, I am in favor of removing the proposed restriction from our recommendation and of allowing all branches one dollar commission on each active member without any maximum, but with the understanding that such commission is only to be given if the annual dues are increased to \$7.50 a year, as recommended by the Executive Committee. On the basis of the present dues, it certainly would not be feasible to allow commissions to branches except for new members."

Jacob Diner seconds motion of W. B. Day. Do you favor the motion? It will be re-

garded as *Motion No. 41 (Removing Restriction from Recommendation No. 6, for Rebate of Annual Dues to Local Branches)*.

*Recommendation No. 7:* "That the issuance of certificates of membership, except to life members, be discontinued, and that the by-laws be amended accordingly."

Do you favor the above recommendation? This will be known as *Motion No. 42 (Discontinuance of Certificates of Membership)*.

*Recommendation No. 8:* "That the suggestions contained in the report of the Committee on American Pharmaceutical Research Endowment be approved by the Council."

(Covered by motions in Council Letters Nos. 25 and 26.)

*Recommendation No. 9:* "That there be established in the Journal for one year a Department of Commercial Pharmacy, the said department to be a symposium of articles on commercial pharmacy, past and present, to be edited by a special committee; and that Prof. E. Fullerton Cook be requested to act as chairman of the committee."

On this subject E. Fullerton Cook writes:

"I have noticed in the action of the Executive Committee, the suggestion that there be established in the JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN PHARMACEUTICAL ASSOCIATION (for one year), a department of Commercial Pharmacy, said department to be a symposium of articles on commercial pharmacy, edited by a special committee.

"In this recommendation (Number 9), it is also suggested that I be requested to act as chairman of this Special Committee. As the matter was only a suggestion, I had not replied, but as it is now before the Council for action, I would like to say that it will be impossible for me to serve in this capacity if the action is approved, other duties demanding my time during the coming year.

"I believe that there should be some definite space given in the JOURNAL to the consideration of proper commercial subjects and would suggest or move that the question be referred to the Committee on Publication for final action."

C. H. LaWall seconds the above motion. Do you favor the motion? It will be regarded as *Motion No. 43 (Department of Commercial Interests in Journal)*.

*Recommendation No. 10:* "That the Treasurer continue to submit an annual financial report in full detail to the Association, and that this report be published in the Year Book,

but that a summary only of the report be published in the JOURNAL."

Do you favor the above recommendation? This will be regarded as *Motion No. 44 (Publication of Annual Report of Treasurer)*.

J. W. ENGLAND,  
415 N. 33RD STREET. Secretary.

A. PH. A. COUNCIL LETTER NO. 28.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., March 17, 1920.

To the Members of the Council:

The Committee on Program presents the following tentative program for the sixty-eighth annual meeting, May 5 to May 11, 1920:

TENTATIVE PROGRAM FOR SIXTY-EIGHTH ANNUAL MEETING.

Wednesday, May 5.

- 9.30 A. M. National Association of Boards of Pharmacy.
- 2.00 P. M. National Association of Boards of Pharmacy.
- 2.00 P. M. American Conference of Pharmaceutical Faculties.
- 8.00 P. M. National Association of Boards of Pharmacy.
- 8.00 P. M. American Conference of Pharmaceutical Faculties.

Thursday, May 6.

- 9.00 A. M. First Council Meeting.
- 9.30 A. M. National Association of Boards of Pharmacy.
- 9.30 A. M. American Conference of Pharmaceutical Faculties.
- 10.00 A. M. Trip to National Museum for the Ladies.
- 2.00 P. M. National Association of Boards of Pharmacy.
- 2.00 P. M. American Conference of Pharmaceutical Faculties.
- 3.00 P. M. First General Session of the Association.
- 4.30 P. M. Ladies Reception under the Auspices of the Ladies Committee of the District of Columbia.
- 8.00 P. M. Adjourned First General Session. Award of the Joseph P. Remington Honor Medal.
- 9.30 P. M. President's Reception.

Friday, May 7.

- 9.00 A. M. Seeing Washington, Auto Ride, Public Buildings.
- 2.00 P. M. First Session of the Scientific Section.
- 2.00 P. M. First Session of the Section on Education and Legislation.

- 2.00 P. M. First Session Section on Practical Pharmacy and Dispensing.  
 2.00 P. M. First Session of War Veterans, Section.  
 2.30 P. M. Shopping Tours for the Ladies, or visit of Gov't Bldgs.  
 3.00 P. M. First Session of the House of Delegates.  
 7.30 P. M. Second Council Meeting.  
 8.30 P. M. Second General Session of Association.

*Saturday, May 8.*

- 9.30 A. M. First Session of the Historical Section.  
 9.30 A. M. First Session of the Commercial Section.  
 9.30 A. M. Second Session of the Scientific Section.  
 9.30 A. M. Second Session of War Veterans' Section.  
 9.30 A. M. First Session of the Women's Section.  
 2.00 P. M. Boat Ride on the Potomac to Mount Vernon, Home of Washington, and Plank Shad Dinner at Marshall Hall.  
 8.00 P. M. Third Council Meeting, on Boat.\*

*Sunday, May 9.*

- A. M. Church Service to those desiring; also trips about the city, Arlington, Rock Creek Park, or Suburbs.  
 2.00 P. M. Visit to Corcoran Gallery of Art.

*Monday, May 10.*

- 9.00 A. M. Fourth Council Meeting.  
 9.30 A. M. Second Session of the Commercial Section.  
 9.30 A. M. Second Session of the Historical Section.  
 9.30 A. M. Third Session of the Scientific Section.  
 9.30 A. M. Second Session of the Women's Section.  
 12.00 M. Alumni Luncheons.  
 2.00 P. M. Second Session of the House of Delegates.  
 2.00 P. M. Card Party for the Ladies.  
 2.30 P. M. Second Session of the Section on Education and Legislation.  
 2.30 P. M. Second Session of the Section on Practical Pharmacy and Dispensing.  
 4.00 P. M. Joint Session American Conference of Pharmaceutical Faculties, Section on Education and Legislation and National Association of Boards of Pharmacy.  
 4.30 P. M. Council Meeting (Reorganization).  
 8.00 P. M. Final General Session of the Association.

The Headquarters of the Convention will be at the new Willard Hotel.

Comments and suggestions are invited.

J. W. ENGLAND,

415 N. 33RD STREET.

Secretary.

### WHEN ESSENTIAL OILS ARE SHIPPED.

The following table, printed in the "Perfumery Record Year Book," shows the times the new crops of essential oils are available for shipment:

#### OILS.

Aniseed: September-November.  
 Bergamot: December-March.  
 Bois de Rose: January-June.  
 Caraway: August-October.  
 Citronella, Ceylon: September-March.  
 Clove: January-March.  
 Geranium, Bourbon (three crops): January-March, October-November, May-June.  
 Geranium: African, May-July.  
 Juniper Berry: September-December.  
 Lavender, English: August-September.  
 Lemon: November-March.  
 Lemongrass: August-December.  
 Lime: July-November.  
 Linaloe: January-May.  
 Orange (Sicilian): October-December.  
 Palmarosa: September-December.  
 Pennyroyal, Spanish: May-July.

Peppermint, American: September-November.

Peppermint, English: August-September.

Rose (Otto): June-July.

Rosemary, Spanish and African: May-July.

Spearmint, American: September-November.

Vetivert, Bourbon: May-June.

#### SOUTH OF FRANCE FLOWERS.

Violet and Jonquil: February-April.

Rose and Orange Blossoms: May.

Orange Leaves: June.

Thyme and Rosemary: May-July.

Jasmine, Tuberose, Lavender: August-October.

Spike Lavender: October-November.

Rose Geranium: September-October.

Cassie: October-November.

\* This meeting can be adjourned until Sunday.